Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellence

Remediation Performance Optimization in the Age of Performance Contracts

John Gillespie

9 Nov 2011

U.S. AIR FORCE





- Background
- Evolution of Optimization Concepts
- Evolution of Contract Strategies
- Current Role of Optimization and Surveillance



Background

Then

- DoD remediation liability estimate (1987)
 - \$14B and 13 years
- Limited technical & programmatic experience
 - Led to great uncertainties
- Development of processintensive regulatory models

'Recently'

- DoD remediation liability estimates (2004)
 - **\$50B and beyond 2014**
- 20 years of experience, knowledge & innovation
 - Led to methodology to address uncertainties
- Optimization
 - Focus on results not simply the process





Initial environmental restoration efforts focused on investigation...

...and never seemed to end

Interim remedies were originally thought to clean up a site within a few years...

...which didn't happen

Optimization became a means of changing activities in the hope that performance would be improved



Optimization – First Steps

Optimization focused on system and site specific data

- Improve system operation
 - Add / remove / modify wells and other equipment
 - Established performance goals
- Adjust monitoring for performance tracking
 - Largely investigation related monitoring networks
 - Select the appropriate subset of wells to evaluate remedy performance
- Adjust site-wide and installation-wide
 Long Term Monitoring
 - Identify specific endpoints for monitoring



But we've always done it this way...





U.S. AIR FORCE

As of:

Optimization – Second Step

Performance Based Management

- First holistic approach for environmental restoration programs
- Emphasis on achieving site closure and/or returning land to beneficial use





Optimization – Next Steps

- Focus on Remedy in Place by 2012
- Optimization concepts applied to the restoration program... ERP-O
 - Sites were evaluated for meeting the RIP goals
 - Recommendations developed to facilitate RIP achievement
 - Installations responsible for implementing recommendations
- Surveillance
 - Periodic review of ERP-O recommendations
 - Funding for recommended actions
 - PBR contract site status reviewed 1 yr before end of PoP





- Original intent was to hold off on independent ERP-O review until the end of a PBR
 - Avoid 'interference' with PBR contractor
- Case Studies:
 - 1. Conducted review of 13 sites at one installation which were to have progressed from RI to ROD
 - RIs were deficient, all funding expensed
 - Caused 2 year delay
 - 2. Conducted review of 7 sites at one installation with site closure goals
 - Inadequate preparation for remedy execution
 - Lacked progress towards goals
 - Expended approximately 85% of the budget



Case Study 1

What Happened?

- Work Plans lacked detail
 - "We'll figure out what needs to be done in the field"
 - Default decision logic cycled back to "get more funding"
- Poor document trail for changes
 - Significant inconsistencies between WP and reports
- Significant data gaps remained
 - Poor presentation of data (maps & text)

Hindsight

There were missed opportunities to catch and correct the execution problems

What Happened?

- PBC was awarded to the contractor who promised the most sites closed
- Execution lacked critical data collection
 - No aquifer response test
 - No evaluation of data gaps
 - Source zones within aquifer
- Poor progress towards closure
 - Concentration trends generally stable , some increasing/decreasing

Hindsight

As of:

- ERP-O evaluation had been requested 1 year earlier
- Technical review of performance goes beyond the 'words' in the report.





Case Study 2



- February 24, 2011 Policy for Refocusing the Air Force Environmental Restoration Program from the Assistant Secretary (Installations, Environment, and Logistics)
 - Cleanup objectives to focus on fence-to-fence accelerated SC
 - SC is... no further investments of time or money
 - Unrestricted Use and Unlimited Exposure (UU/UE)
 - Primary contracting mechanism Performance-based



- PBR emphasizes contractor's responsibility for making appropriate decisions
 - Contractors implement RPO and LTM-O
 - Technically feasible optimization
 - Regulatory acceptable optimization
 - Optimization for site closure
 - May result in increased frequency of sampling
 - Additional sampling points may be needed for verification
- Air Force evaluations and recommendations can only be for information and not direction of remediation



ERP-O Adapts

Pre-PBR Contribution

- Provide programmatic evaluation to support fence-to-fence PBRs
- Highlight potential performance metrics
- During PBR Surveillance
 - Support execution of the Surveillance Plan



Evaluate progress against performance metrics and milestones

Post PBR – Evaluation and preparation for next PBR

- Insight into progress made towards meeting remediation goals
- Identification of next set of goals



Surveillance of PBRs

- Contractor develops the Project Management Plan and Integrated Master Schedule
 - Milestones
 - Performance Goals
- Air Force develops the Surveillance Plan
 - Documents surveillance activities and points to verify the contractors progress



Integrity - Service - Excellence

Potential Surveillance Process

U.S. AIR FORCE





QUESTIONS?





- **ASTM:** http://www.astm.org/DATABASE.CART/WORKITEMS/WK23495.htm
- AFCEE ERP-O: http://www.afcee.af.mil/resources/restoration/ erp-o/index.asp
- AFCEE RPRM: http://www.afcee.af.mil/resources/restoration/rprm/index.asp
- US Navy: https://portal.navfac.navy.mil/portal/page/portal/NAVFAC/NAVFAC_ WW_PP/NAVFAC_NFESC_PP/ENVIRONMENTAL/ERB/OPT
- US Army: http://www.environmental.usace.army.mil/rse_checklist.htm
- US EPA: http://epa.gov/superfund/cleanup/postconstruction/optimize.htm
- ITRC RPO: http://www.itrcweb.org/teampublic_RPO.asp
- ITRC RRM: http://www.itrcweb.org/teampublic_RRM.asp

Integrity - Service - Excellence



Objectives and Targets

Accelerated Completion Objectives

	Target 1	Target 2
BRAC Sites		
Accelerated completion	75% of all Sites by 2012	90% of all Sites by 2015
Non-BRAC Sites		
Accelerated completion	50% of all Sites by 2012	75% of all Sites by 2015



Objectives and Targets

For Sites not yet Completed, ensure:

	Target 1	Target 2
BRAC Sites		
Under Performance Based Contract	75% of Sites by 2011	95% of Sites by 2014
Non-BRAC Sites		
Under Performance Based Contract	60% of Sites by 2012	90% of Sites by 2015