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Our mission is to lead and execute environmental programs and provide expertise that enables Army training, operations, acquisition and sustainable military communities.

> ENABLING MISSION READINESS

## Army Cleanup Program Objectives

- DoD goals for Cleanup Program:
- $90 \%$ of IRP ${ }^{1}$ and $M M R P^{2}$ sites at Response Complete by 2018
- 95\% of IRP and MMRP sites at Response Complete by 2021
- Optimize Out-Year Liabilities
- Army active sites IRP Cost to Complete as of FY 12 ~ \$1.25 B
${ }^{1}$ Installation Restoration Program (IRP)
${ }^{2}$ Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP)


## Active Sites Projected RC and Long-Term Costs



## Initiatives

- Independent Technical Reviews
- Identified technical impracticability as a likely end state at sites with complex hydrogeology and DNAPL
- Various internal reports on technical impracticability
- What is it? How is it used? How many sites have TI waivers?
- Funded two National Research Council (NRC) studies
- Contaminants in the Subsurface (NRC, 2005a)
- Alternatives for Managing the Nation's Complex Contaminated Groundwater Sites (NRC, 2012)


## Alternatives for Managing the Nation's Complex Contaminated Groundwater Sites (NRC, 2012) <br> Study Charge:

## 1. Size of the Problem

- At how many sites does residual contamination remain such that site closure is not yet possible?
- At what percentage of these sites does residual contamination in groundwater threaten public water systems?

2. Current Capabilities to Remove Contamination

- What is technically feasible in terms of removing a certain percentage of the total contaminant mass?
- What percent removal would be needed to reach unrestricted use or to be able to extract and treat groundwater for potable reuse?
- What should be the definition of "to the extent practicable" when discussing contaminant mass removal?


## Alternatives for Managing the Nation's Complex Contaminated Groundwater Sites (NRC, 2012)

## Study Charge:

## 3. Correlating Source Removal with Risks

- How can progress of source remediation be measured to best correlate with site-specific risks?
- Recognizing the long term nature of many problems, what near-term endpoints for remediation might be established?
- Are there regulatory barriers that make it impossible to close sites even when the site-specific risk is negligible and can they be overcome?

4. The Future of Treatment Technologies

- The intractable nature of subsurface contamination suggests the need to discourage future contaminant releases, encourage the use of innovative and multiple technologies, modify remedies when new information becomes available, and clean up sites sustainably.
- What progress has been made in these areas and what additional research is needed?
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## Study Charge:

## 5. Better Decision Making

- Can adaptive site management lead to better decisions about how to spend limited resources while taking into consideration the concerns of stakeholders?
- Should life cycle assessment become a standard component of the decision process?
- How can a greater understanding of the limited current (but not necessarily future) potential to restore groundwater be communicated to the public?

