

POREWATER CONCENTRATION AND BIOAVAILABILITY

Upal Ghosh
 Department of Chemical, Biochemical, & Environmental Engineering
 University of Maryland Baltimore County

FRTR
 May 11, 2016




Outline

- Pollutant bioavailability in sediments
- Freely dissolved concentration in porewater
- Measurement using passive sampling
- Application case studies:
 - site-specific sediment risk assessment
 - remedy monitoring

1

Bioaccumulation And Exposure of DDD



2

Bioaccumulation of Hydrophobic Compounds

Legacy contaminants in exposed sediment contaminates the food chain through:


- 1) bioaccumulation in benthic organisms
- 2) flux into the water column, and uptake in the pelagic food web.

- Predictions work reasonably well for natural systems
- Predictions become more challenging for industrially impacted sediments

3

Conceptual Understanding of Passive Sampling

- 1) Hydrophobic chemicals partition among the aqueous and different solid phases
- 2) Equilibrium distribution can be described by linear free energy relationships

$$C_{total} = C_{free} + DOC \cdot K_{DOC} \cdot C_{free} + POC \cdot K_{POC} \cdot C_{free}$$


Two approaches to measure total and freely dissolved concentrations:

- 1) Remove POC by centrifugation/flocculation, measure total dissolved concentration and DOC, and estimate freely dissolved concentration.
- 2) Use calibrated passive sampler to measure freely dissolved concentration, measure DOC, and estimate total dissolved concentration.

4

Prediction of Toxicity: Sediment vs. Freely Dissolved Conc.




Figure 1. Chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* (28-day) can not be predicted from total PAH concentration in MGP sediment

Figure 2. Chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* (28-day) can be predicted by estimating PAHs in sediment pore water.

5

Prediction of Biouptake in Benthic Organisms: Sediment vs. Freely Dissolved Conc.

- 7 freshwater and marine sediments
- Freely dissolved conc. measured by passive sampling and also directly
- Lipid concentrations better predicted from freely dissolved porewater

Predicted from sediment

Predicted from porewater

$C_s = (f_{OC} - f_{lip})K_{OC}C_{aq} + f_{lip}K_{LIP}C_{pw}$
 $C_{lipid} = K_{LIP} \cdot C_{pw}$

Werner et al. ES&T 2010 6

Measurement of HOCs in Water is Challenging

Need to measure <ng/L concentrations in sediment porewater

Two approaches to get to this concentration:

- Modeling based on partitioning calculations:
 - Sediment concentration and fraction OC
 - Model presumes a certain partitioning behavior for the OC
 - Complication from the presence of BC
 - Difficult to characterize BC partitioning
- Direct measurement:
 - Detection limits associated with manageable grab sampling
 - Separation of colloids challenging
 - Passive sampling

7

Examples of Passive Sampling Use

- Batch equilibrium measurements for low aqueous concentrations (PCBs, PAHs, dioxins)
- In-situ probing to assess ambient contaminant concentrations or to assess changes with time or with treatment

Pictures of typical applications:

Laboratory batch equilibrium

Stream water quality assessment

Field evaluation of treatment performance

Depth profiling of porewater in sediment

8

Deployment of Passive Samplers into Surface Sediments

Tool for inserting passive sampler frame in sediment. The 8' pole allows deployment from a boat in shallow water sediments

Passive sampler encased in stainless steel mesh and framed for sediment deployment

Underwater video camera for confirming placement depth

Rope and buoy for retrieval after deployment

Image from underwater camera showing the passive sampler being inserted into sediment

9

Uptake of Pollutants in Passive Sampler

- Equilibrium slow for: 1) high K_{ow} ; 2) static porewater
- Mass transfer in sediment side difficult to predict
- Performance Reference Compounds (PRCs) are used to correct for non-equilibrium
- PRCs have similar diffusion properties as analytes

Analyte adsorption

PRC desorption

Passive sampler

$$k_p = \frac{\ln(C_{PRC,T}/C_{PRC,i})}{t}$$

$$C_p = K_{pw}C_w[1 - \exp(-k_p t)]$$

10

Case Study 1: Site Specific Risk Assessment

27-acre degraded wetland

Contaminants detected in marsh soil:

- Metals (e.g. As, Pb, Cr)
- PAHs
- PCBs
- Pesticides

11

Benthic Organism-Based PRGs

(Equilibrium Partitioning Approach):

$$PRG_{\text{sediment}} = \text{Toxicity Value} \times CF \times foc \times K_{oc}$$

- PRG, concentration in sediment (mg/kg DW sediment)
- Toxicity Value, Aquatic community-based toxicity value (µg/L)
- CF, Conversion factor of mg/1,000 µg
- foc, Organic carbon fraction (2 % default used in initial calculation, average of 4% TOC was detected in sediments)
- K_{oc}, Organic carbon partition constants (default value from HHRAP used in initial calculation, measured specific K_{oc} used in revised version)

EPA, 2003c, 2003d, 2003e, 2005j, 2008b
Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol (HHRAP 2012)

12

Food Web Modeling Based PRGs

(bioamplification and trophic transfer through dietary exposure)

$$PRG_{\text{sediment}} = \frac{TRV \cdot TRV}{FT \cdot (FIR \cdot \frac{BCF}{foc \cdot K_{oc}} + SIR)}$$

| Parameter | Definition | Value |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| PRG _{sediment} | Concentration of COPEC in sediment (mg COPEC/kg DW sediment) | |
| THQ | Target hazard quotient (unitless) | 1 |
| TRV | Toxicity reference value (mg COPEC/kg BW-day) | Class- and COPEC-specific |
| FT | Fraction of foraging time in the exposure area (unitless) | Receptor-specific |
| FIR | Food ingestion rate (kg WW tissue/kg BW-day) | Receptor-specific |
| SIR | Sediment ingestion rate (kg DW sediment/kg BW-day) | Receptor-specific |
| Log K _{ow} | Log octanol-water partitioning coefficient (unitless) | COPEC-specific |

- Local receptors: American robin, Raccoon, **Spotted sandpiper**, etc.
- Spotted sandpiper was selected due to its most rigid sediment concentration criteria.


(EPA 2007a, 1999, 1993)
13

Study Objectives

Evaluate site specific bioavailability of PAHs and PCBs in South Wilmington Wetland sediment and refine risk assessment.

Methods

- Sediment samples collected from 15 sites.
- Measurement of PCB, PAH, and pesticide concentrations in sediment samples.
- **Laboratory partitioning study for PCBs and PAHs using passive sampler**
 - Four weeks partitioning test
 - Polyethylene (PE) as passive sample
 - $C_w = C_{PE}/K_{PE}$
 - K_{PE} previously determined



14


Bioaccumulation in Benthic Organisms

- Organism: *Lumbriculus variegatus*
- Daily water exchange and quality monitoring
- 28 days exposure
- Worm collection and depuration
- Cleanup and analysis for PCBs



15


Partition Constants For PAHs, PCBs, Pesticides



- Measured K_{oc} values for both PAHs and PCBs were 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the generic values used in preliminary risk assessments
- Literature median value of BC/OC ratio : 9%, n=300 (Cornelissen et al. 2005)
- Measured BC/OC ratio: 17-36%


16

PCB Bioaccumulation



Measured C_w provides best prediction of PCB bioaccumulation

17



Case Study 2: Predicting Uptake in Fish After in situ Treatment

- Evaluate the effect of sediment amendment with AC on PCB uptake in fish
- Test the ability of existing PCB bioaccumulation models to predict changes observed in fish uptake upon AC amendment of sediment
- Incorporate measured freely dissolved concentrations by passive sampler in food chain models

19



Laboratory Exposure Experiments

Water flow in aquaria tanks

- Treatments:
 - Clean sediment (Rhode River)
 - PCB impacted sediment (Near-shore Grasse River)
 - PCB impacted sediment-AC treated in the lab
- Replicate aquaria with passive samplers in water column and sediment
- Fish species: Zebrafish
- PCB-free diet
- Sampling after 45 and 90 days

Components in each aquaria

20



Predicting PCB Uptake in Fish

Equilibrium Approach

$$C_{lipid} = K_{lipid} C_{w,o} \quad K_{lipid} = K_{ow}$$


Kinetic Approach

$$\frac{dM_B}{dt} = W_B k_1 C_{w,o} - (k_2 + k_e) M_B$$

(Arnot and Gobas 2004)

$$M_B = \frac{W_B k_1 C_{w,o}}{(k_2 + k_e)} (1 - e^{-(k_2 + k_e)t})$$

23



Key Conclusions

- Passive samplers can be used to accurately measure C_{free}
- Site-specific C_{free} values provide improved prediction of toxicity and bioaccumulation
- Incorporating C_{free} measurements in bioaccumulation model allows better prediction of uptake in fish

Future needs

- Inter-laboratory tests for greater confidence in precision
- Development of SRMs to check method accuracy
- More organic compounds with known K_{PW}

25

Acknowledgments

- Funding support from SERDP/ESTCP programs, NIEHS, USEPA GLNPO, and Alcoa
- Graduate students at UMBC

26

PASSIVE SAMPLER PREPARATION AND PROCESSING

- Polymers need to be cleaned before use in the field
- PRCs have to be added to the polymers
- Samplers need to be mounted in some form to allow water exposure while proving rigidity for deployment
- Important to make sure polymer sheets do not fold up during deployment
- Upon retrieval, surface deposits need to be removed
- After surface cleaning, the polymers are extracted in appropriate solvent.
- Surrogate standards added to extraction vial
- An accurate weight measurement of the polymer is taken
- Field blanks analyzed for exposure during transport and handling

27

DETECTION LIMITS

- PE and POM sheets generally have lower detection limits than PDMS-coated SPME fibers due to their larger mass and absorptive capacities
- The mass of polymer needed depends on the detection limit of the chosen analytical method (e.g., regular GC-ECD or GC-MS vs HR-GC/HR-MS)

Example C_{free} detection limits for PCBs using POM

| | 1g | | 0.2g | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | POM MDL | POM PQL | POM MDL | POM PQL |
| | ng/g | pg/L | ng/g | pg/L |
| PCB-3 | 0.542 | 17 | 83 | |
| PCB-6 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 1.8 | |
| PCB-18 | 0.019 | 0.14 | 0.70 | |
| PCB-53 | 0.048 | 0.29 | 1.5 | |
| PCB-44 | 0.029 | 0.23 | 1.2 | |
| PCB-101 | 0.014 | 0.12 | 0.62 | |
| PCB-153 | 0.011 | 0.05 | 0.23 | |
| PCB-180 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.81 | |

28

