

## POREWATER CONCENTRATION AND BIOAVAILABILITY

Upal Ghosh

Department of Chemical, Biochemical, & Environmental Engineering  
University of Maryland Baltimore County

FRTR  
May 11, 2016




### Outline

- Pollutant bioavailability in sediments
- Freely dissolved concentration in porewater
- Measurement using passive sampling
- Application case studies:
  - site-specific sediment risk assessment
  - remedy monitoring


1

### Bioaccumulation And Exposure of DDD



2

### Bioaccumulation of Hydrophobic Compounds




3

### Conceptual Understanding of Passive Sampling

- 1) Hydrophobic chemicals partition among the aqueous and different solid phases
- 2) Equilibrium distribution can be described by linear free energy relationships

$$C_{\text{total}} = C_{\text{free}} + \text{DOC} \cdot K_{\text{DOC}} \cdot C_{\text{free}} + \text{POC} \cdot K_{\text{POC}} \cdot C_{\text{free}}$$



Two approaches to measure total and freely dissolved concentrations:

- 1) Remove POC by centrifugation/flocculation, measure total dissolved concentration and DOC, and estimate freely dissolved concentration.
- 2) Use calibrated passive sampler to measure freely dissolved concentration, measure DOC, and estimate total dissolved concentration.

4

### Prediction of Toxicity: Sediment vs. Freely Dissolved Conc.




Figure 1. Chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* (28-day) can not be predicted from total PAH concentration in MGP sediment


Figure 2. Chronic toxicity to *H. azteca* (28-day) can be predicted by estimating PAHs in sediment pore water.

Kreitinger et al., ETC 2007

5

### Prediction of Biouptake in Benthic Organisms: Sediment vs. Freely Dissolved Conc.

- 7 freshwater and marine sediments
- Freely dissolved conc. measured by passive sampling and also directly
- Lipid concentrations better predicted from freely dissolved porewater



6

### Measurement of HOCs in Water is Challenging

Need to measure <ng/L concentrations in sediment porewater

Two approaches to get to this concentration:

- Modeling based on partitioning calculations:
  - Sediment concentration and fraction OC
  - Model presumes a certain partitioning behavior for the OC
  - Complication from the presence of BC
  - Difficult to characterize BC partitioning
- Direct measurement:
  - Detection limits associated with manageable grab sampling
  - Separation of colloids challenging
  - Passive sampling

7

### Examples of Passive Sampling Use

- Batch equilibrium measurements for low aqueous concentrations (PCBs, PAHs, dioxins)
- In-situ probing to assess ambient contaminant concentrations or to assess changes with time or with treatment

Pictures of typical applications:



8


### Deployment of Passive Samplers into Surface Sediments



9


### Uptake of Pollutants in Passive Sampler

- Equilibrium slow for: 1) high  $K_{ow}$ ; 2) static porewater
- Mass transfer in sediment side difficult to predict
- Performance Reference Compounds (PRCs) are used to correct for non-equilibrium
- PRCs have similar diffusion properties as analytes



10

### Case Study 1: Site Specific Risk Assessment



11

### Benthic Organism-Based PRGs

(Equilibrium Partitioning Approach):

$$PRG_{\text{sediment}} = \text{Toxicity Value} \times CF \times foc \times K_{oc}$$

- PRG, concentration in sediment (mg/kg DW sediment)
- Toxicity Value, Aquatic community-based toxicity value (µg/L)
- CF, Conversion factor of mg/1,000 µg
- foc, Organic carbon fraction (2 % default used in initial calculation, average of 4% TOC was detected in sediments)
- K<sub>oc</sub>, Organic carbon partition constants (default value from HHRAP used in initial calculation, measured specific K<sub>oc</sub> used in revised version)

EPA, 2003c, 2003d, 2003e, 2005j, 2008b  
Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol (HHRAP 2012)

12

### Food Web Modeling Based PRGs

(biomagnification and trophic transfer through dietary exposure)

$$PRG_{\text{sediment}} = \frac{TRV \cdot TRV}{FT \cdot (FIR \cdot \frac{BCF}{foc \cdot K_{oc}} + SIR)}$$

Parameter	Definition	Value
PRG <sub>sediment</sub>	Concentration of COPEC in sediment (mg COPEC/kg DW sediment)	
THQ	Target hazard quotient (unitless)	1
TRV	Toxicity reference value (mg COPEC/kg BW-day)	Class- and COPEC-specific
FT	Fraction of foraging time in the exposure area (unitless)	Receptor-specific
FIR	Food ingestion rate (kg WW tissue/kg BW-day)	Receptor-specific
SIR	Sediment ingestion rate (kg DW sediment/kg BW-day)	Receptor-specific
Log K <sub>oc</sub>	Log octanol-water partitioning coefficient (unitless)	COPEC-specific

- Local receptors: American robin, Raccoon, **Spotted sandpiper**, etc.
- Spotted sandpiper was selected due to its most rigid sediment concentration criteria.


(EPA 2007a, 1999, 1993)  
13

### Study Objectives

Evaluate site specific bioavailability of PAHs and PCBs in South Wilmington Wetland sediment and refine risk assessment.

#### Methods


- Sediment samples collected from 15 sites.
- Measurement of PCB, PAH, and pesticide concentrations in sediment samples.
- Laboratory partitioning study for PCBs and PAHs using passive sampler
  - Four weeks partitioning test
  - Polyethylene (PE) as passive sample
  - $C_W = C_{PE}/K_{PE}$
  - $K_{PE}$  previously determined



14


### Bioaccumulation in Benthic Organisms

- Organism: *Lumbricus variegatus*
- Daily water exchange and quality monitoring
- 28 days exposure
- Worm collection and depuration
- Cleanup and analysis for PCBs



15


### Partition Constants For PAHs, PCBs, Pesticides



- Measured K<sub>oc</sub> values for both PAHs and PCBs were 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the generic values used in preliminary risk assessments
- Literature median value of BC/OC ratio : 9%, n=300 (Cornelissen et al. 2005)
- Measured BC/OC ratio: 17-36%

16


### PCB Bioaccumulation



Measured C<sub>w</sub> provides best prediction of PCB bioaccumulation

17

### Benthic Organism-Based PRGs Using Site Specific Koc



18


### Case Study 2: Predicting Uptake in Fish After in situ Treatment

- Evaluate the effect of sediment amendment with AC on PCB uptake in fish
- Test the ability of existing PCB bioaccumulation models to predict changes observed in fish uptake upon AC amendment of sediment
- Incorporate measured freely dissolved concentrations by passive sampler in food chain models




19

### Laboratory Exposure Experiments





- Treatments:
  - Clean sediment (Rhode River)
  - PCB impacted sediment (Near-shore Grasse River)
  - PCB impacted sediment-AC treated in the lab
- Replicate aquaria with passive samplers in water column and sediment
- Fish species: Zebrafish
- PCB-free diet
- Sampling after 45 and 90 days



20


### Porewater and Overlying Water PCBs



- Porewater and Overlying PCB concentrations in PCB impacted untreated sediment were high and were reduced by **more than 95%** upon amendment with AC.
- In the PCB-impacted untreated sediment tanks, porewater PCB concentrations were **3-7 fold** higher than the overlying concentrations indicating sediment as the PCB source to the water column.

21

### PCB Residue in Fish after 90 Days



The AC amendment reduced the PCB uptake in fish by **87%** after 90 days of exposure.


22

### Predicting PCB Uptake in Fish

#### Equilibrium Approach

$$C_{lipid} = K_{lipid} C_{w,o} \quad K_{lipid} = K_{ow}$$

#### Kinetic Approach




$$\frac{dM_B}{dt} = W_B k_1 C_{w,o} - (k_2 + k_e) M_B$$

(Arnot and Gobas 2004)

$$M_B = \frac{W_B k_1 C_{w,o}}{(1 - e^{-(k_2 + k_e)t})}$$

23

### Equilibrium and Kinetic Model Predictions



- Worms in sediment come close to equilibrium in 1 month
- Fish do not reach equilibrium even after 90 day exposure

24

### Key Conclusions

- Passive samplers can be used to accurately measure  $C_{free}$
- Site-specific  $C_{free}$  values provide improved prediction of toxicity and bioaccumulation
- Incorporating  $C_{free}$  measurements in bioaccumulation model allows better prediction of uptake in fish

### Future needs

- Inter-laboratory tests for greater confidence in precision
- Development of SRMs to check method accuracy
- More organic compounds with known  $K_{PW}$

25

### Acknowledgments

- Funding support from SERDP/ESTCP programs, NIEHS, USEPA GLNPO, and Alcoa
- Graduate students at UMBC



26

### PASSIVE SAMPLER PREPARATION AND PROCESSING

- Polymers need to be cleaned before use in the field
- PRCs have to be added to the polymers
- Samplers need to be mounted in some form to allow water exposure while proving rigidity for deployment
- Important to make sure polymer sheets do not fold up during deployment
- Upon retrieval, surface deposits need to be removed
- After surface cleaning, the polymers are extracted in appropriate solvent.
- Surrogate standards added to extraction vial
- An accurate weight measurement of the polymer is taken
- Field blanks analyzed for exposure during transport and handling

27

### DETECTION LIMITS


- PE and POM sheets generally have lower detection limits than PDMS-coated SPME fibers due to their larger mass and absorptive capacities
- The mass of polymer needed depends on the detection limit of the chosen analytical method (e.g., regular GC-ECD or GC-MS vs HR-GC/HR-MS)

Example  $C_{free}$  detection limits for PCBs using POM

	1g		0.2g	
	POM	POM	POM	POM
	MDL	PQL	MDL	PQL
	ng/g	pg/L	ng/g	pg/L
PCB-3	0.542	17	83	
PCB-6	0.05	0.37	1.8	
PCB-18	0.019	0.14	0.70	
PCB-53	0.048	0.29	1.5	
PCB-44	0.029	0.23	1.2	
PCB-101	0.014	0.12	0.62	
PCB-153	0.011	0.05	0.23	
PCB-180	0.03	0.16	0.81	

28

### REVISED PRGS BASED ON EXPOSURE TO SPOTTED SANDPIPER



29