

Aqueous Geochemical Modeling to Evaluate Metal-Laden Discharges from Coal Mines

*Charles A. Cravotta III, Research Hydrologist
U.S. Geological Survey, Pennsylvania Water Science Center
215 Limekiln Rd, New Cumberland, PA 17070*

User-friendly aqueous geochemical tools have been developed with PHREEQC [1] that combine rate models for gas exchange, limestone dissolution, and iron oxidation plus reactions with chemical neutralizing or oxidizing agents to simulate changes in water quality during treatment of net-acidic or net-alkaline iron-laden effluent. The limestone kinetics tool utilizes an established rate model for calcite dissolution and precipitation [2]; the rate expression considers solution chemistry, mainly pH and partial pressure of CO₂, plus the surface area and purity of limestone particles. The iron-oxidation kinetics tool utilizes established rate models for the oxidation of aqueous Fe(II), which depends on dissolved O₂ and pH [3, 4, 5]. The Fe(II) oxidation rate combines abiotic homogeneous and heterogeneous rate laws, which indicate a positive relation with pH from 5 to 8, plus a generalized microbial oxidation rate, which indicates a negative relation with pH from 5 to 2.8. A first-order rate law describes O₂ ingassing and CO₂ outgassing as the dissolved gases approach atmospheric equilibrium. Sequential treatment steps that have different detention time, aeration rate, limestone quantity, Fe(III) solids, and temperature can be simulated. A user interface facilitates input of initial water chemistry and adjustment of kinetic variables. Graphical and tabular output indicates the changes in pH and solute concentrations in treated effluent as a function of detention time, plus the cumulative quantity of precipitated solids. By adjusting kinetic variables or chemical dosing, various passive and/or active treatment strategies can be identified that achieve the same desired effluent quality. Cost analysis software such as AMDTreat [6] can then be used to evaluate the cost-effectiveness and feasibility for installation and operation of those equally effective treatments.

[1] Parkhurst and Appelo (2013) *USGS Tech. Methods* 6-A43; [2] Plummer et al. (1978) *Am. J. Sci.* 278, 179-216; [3] Cravotta (2015) *Appl. Geoch.* 54, 223-251; [4] Dempsey et al. (2001) *Geoch. Explor. Env. Anal.* 1, 81-88; [5] Kirby et al. (1999) *Appl. Geoch.* 14, 511-530; [6] Cravotta et al. (2015) *Mine Water Environ.* 34, 136-152.